A Selective Formation of Styrene by Oxidative Methylation of Toluene with Methane over a PbO/MgO Catalyst

Yo OSADA, \* Nobutaka OKINO, Sadao OGASAWARA, Takakazu FUKUSHIMA,
Tsutomu SHIKADA, † and Takao IKARIYA\*†

Department of Materials Science and Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Yokohama National University, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240

†Advanced Technology Research Center, NKK Corporation, Kawasaki-ku, Kawasaki 210

The oxidative methylation of toluene with methane to styrene was studied using a lead oxide supported on magnesia catalyst. Although coke deposits were observed on the catalyst, it was found that a high selectivity of 41% for styrene was stably obtained at 40% toluene conversion over 1g of 20 wt% PbO/MgO catalyst at 973 K.

Styrene is currently manufactured from alkylation of benzene with ethylene followed by dehydrogenation of resulting ethylbenzene. A process for the production of styrene directly from other available sources, such as toluene and methane or methanol, would potentially reduce the cost, and provides a new route for an alternative petroleum feedstock. Oxidative methylation of toluene with methane to ethylbenzene and styrene is one of the promising attempts to provide this need, and a few reports on this reaction have been made. Peccently we reported that a lithium-promoted  $Y_2O_3$ -CaO catalyst showed an excellent catalytic performance for the reaction to form the  $C_8$  aromatics (ethylbenzene and styrene) without producing oxygenated compounds and carbonaceous deposits under a relatively high partial pressure of methane. However, these catalysts exhibited smaller selectivity for styrene than ethylbenzene or other by-products. In this communication we report on the catalytic activity of a PbO/MgO catalyst to this reaction under the high partial pressure of methane.

All catalysts used were prepared by impregnating magnesia with a solution of  $Pb(NO_3)_2$  or  $Li_2CO_3$  dissolved in a dilute nitric acid, drying and calcining in air at 1073 K for 6 h. The experiments were carried out using a conventional flow-quartz reactor (12 mm i.d.) packed with 1g of the catalyst. A typical feed gas-flow rates were  $CH_4/C_6H_5CH_3/O_2 = 50/2.5/4$  ml min<sup>-1</sup>NTP at 973 K for 3.5 h. All the products were analyzed by gas chromatography.

Ethylbenzene, styrene, and benzene were obtained as principal aromatic hydrocarbons. After a reaction, coke deposits were observed on some catalysts. Table 1 shows the results of the reaction for the catalysts supported on magnesia. The selectivity to the each product was calculated on the basis of moles toluene converted. The PbO/MgO catalyst exhibited the best yield and selectivity for the production of styrene at 973 K, but coke was deposited on it; nevertheless the

282 Chemistry Letters, 1990

Table	1.	Catalytic Performance of Supported Lead Oxides for Oxidative Methylation
		of Toluene with Methane <sup>a)</sup>

Run	Catalyst	Coke depositsb)	Conversion/%			Selectivity/% <sup>c)</sup>				Ratio of
			$CH_4$	TL 17.8	100	(EB+ST)	EB 3.0	ST 4.7	BZ 15.9	ST/EB 1.57
1	MgO	•	1.3			7.7				
2	20wt%PbO/MgO		4.4	39.6	100	66.4	25.0	41.4	21.3	1.66
3	20wt%PbO/MgO <sup>d)</sup>		2.1	36.3	100	65.6	25.6	40.0	20.7	1.56
4	20wt%PbO/MgO <sup>e)</sup>		1.5	33.3	100	26.1	15.1	11.0	21.8	0.73
5	20wt%PbO/MgO <sup>f)</sup>	•	2.3	36.1	98.2	50.4	30.3	20.1	21.8	0.66
6	7wt%Li <sub>2</sub> O/MgO	Ō	1.7	15.3	59.1	68.9	53.3	15.6	13.4	0.29
7	7wt%Li <sub>2</sub> 0-20wt%Pb0/Mg0		0.8	28.7	100	55.6	33.5	22.1	13.9	0.66
8	7wt%Li <sub>2</sub> 0/20wt%Pb0/Mg0		1.9	33.9	100	49.6	30.1	19.6	11.4	0.65
9	20wt%Pb0/7wt%Li <sub>2</sub> 0/Mg0		0.6	22.1	95.3	68.1	49.3	18.8	8.9	0.38
10	20wt%Pb0/1wt%Li <sub>2</sub> 0/Mg0	ě	4.7	23.5	100	66.8	39.7	27.1	18.5	0.68

a) Reaction conditions:  $CH_4/C_6H_5CH_3/O_2 = 50/2.5/4$  ml min<sup>-1</sup>NTP; T = 973 K; catalyst weight = 1.0g; data at 3 h. b) Coke deposits were observed (●), not observed (O). c) Selectivity is calculated on the basis of moles toluene converted: TL, EB, ST, and BZ denote toluene, ethylbenzene, styrene, and benzene, respectively. d) Data at 12 h. e) T = 923 K. f) Catalyst weight = 0.2 g.

decrease in the selectivities was little during the reaction for 12 h. In addition, the initial activity was completely recovered by combustion of the coked catalyst with  $0_2$  diluted with He. At a lower reaction temperature, 923 K, and a short contact time with 0.2g of the catalyst, the selectivity to  $C_8$  compounds decreased and the selectivity to ethylbenzene exceeded that of styrene. From the results described in our previous report on the  ${\rm Y}_2{\rm O}_3$ -CaO catalyst,  $^4)$  the addition of alkali metals to this catalyst prevents the coke formation and improves the selectivity. Then we examined the effect of the addition of lithium to PbO/MgO. As shown in runs 7 and 8, both the catalysts, which were prepared by a simultaneous wet impregnation of MgO with a mixed solution containing  ${
m Pb}^{2+}$  and  ${
m Li}^+$  and by a stepwise impregnation of the PbO/MgO with a solution of  $\text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3$ , gave not only the coke deposition but also the drop in the  $C_8$  and styrene selectivities. In the case of the catalyst prepared by the addition of 20 wt% PbO to 7 wt%  ${\rm Li}_2{\rm O/MgO}$ , the coke formation was suppressed and kept the total  $C_8$  selectivity, but the preferential styrene productivity was completely diminished.

In conclusion, the PbO/MgO catalyst was found to have the high catalytic activity and selectivity for the direct production of styrene by oxidative methylation of toluene with methane, irrespective of the coke deposition.

## References

- References

  1) Kh.E. Khcheyan, O.M. Revenko, and A.N. Shatalova, Proc. XIth World Petrol. Congr., 4, 465(1983); Kh.E. Khcheyan, O.M. Revenko, A.V. Borisoglebskaya, and Yu.Z. Fradkov, Neftekhimiya, 21, 83(1981); Kh.E. Khcheyan, F.D. Klebanova, G.L. Bitman, O.B. Sobolev, and G.A. Sokolova, ibid., 22, 323(1982); O.M. Revenko, Kh.E. Khcheyan, M.P. Tikhonova, and A.V. Borisoglebskaya, ibid., 26, 207(1986).

  2) N.I. Iakovich and L.P. Bokareva, Neftekhimiya, 23, 317(1983).

  3) T. Suzuki, K. Wada, and Y. Watanabe, Appl. Catal., 53, L19(1989).

  4) Y. Osada, K. Enomoto, T. Fukushima, S. Ogasawara, T. Shikada, and T. Ikariya, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 1156; Y. Osada, S. Ogasawara, T.Fukushima, T. Shikada, and T. Ikariya, to be submitted.

- T. Shikada, and T. Ikariya, to be submitted.

  5) K. Asami, S. Hashimoto, T. Shikada, K. Fujimoto, and H. Tominaga, Chem. Lett., 1986, 1233; K. Asami, T. Shikada, K. Fujimoto, and H. Tominaga, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., <u>26</u>, 2348(1987).

( Received November 9, 1989 )